

## References

- Aitkin, M., & Longford, N. (1986). Statistical modeling issues in school effectiveness studies. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 149(1), 1-43.
- Burstein, L. (1980). The analysis of multi-level data in educational research and evaluation. *Review of Research in Education*, 4, 158-233.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1963). Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Research. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Choi, K., P. Goldschmidt, and K. Yamashiro (i2005) Exploring Models of School Performance: from Theory to Practice in National Society for the Study of Education v. 104, Joan Herman and Ed Haertel Eds. Blackwell pub.
- Choi, K., Seltzer, M., Herman, J., & Yamashiro, K. (2004). Children Left Behind in AYP and Non-AYP Schools: Using Student Progress and the Distribution of Student\_Gains to Validate AYP\_ CSE Technical Report. Los Angeles, CA: Center for the Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing, University of California Los Angeles.
- Choi, Kilchan, Goldshmidt, P., & Martinez, F. (2004). Monitoring school improvement over years using a hierarchical model under a multiple-cohorts design: comparing scale score to NCE results. Paper presentation, annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego.
- Discussion of Key Issues for States with Growth Models for School Accountability: Issues Include Equity, Inclusion, Legal, and Political. Panel presentation, November, 2004. Ross Wiener, Education Trust, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Weiner.ppt>. Mark Davison, University of Minnesota, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Davison.ppt>.
- Doran, H., & Izumi, L. (2004). Putting Education to the Test: A Value-added Model for California. San Francisco: Pacific Research Institute.
- Examples of Two State Models Currently in Operation. Panel presentation, November 2004. Christopher Cobitz, North Carolina Department of Education, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Cobitz.ppt>. Mary Reel and Benjamin Brown, Tennessee Department of Education, available [http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Brown\\_Reel.ppt](http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Brown_Reel.ppt).
- Goldschmidt, P. K. Choi (2005). A Latent growth curve approach to predicting student proficiency. Paper presentation, annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal.
- Goldschmidt, P. K. Choi, F. Martinez (2003). Using Hierarchical Growth Models to Monitor School Performance Over Time: Comparing NCE to Scale Score Results, National Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing (CRESST), U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement
- Goldschmidt, Pete (2004). Paper presentation, Symposium Large Scale Assessment Conference, Boston, MA. June.
- Goldstein, H., & Spiegelhalter, D. J. (1996). League tables and their limitations: Statistical issues in comparisons of institutional performance. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A*, 159, 384-443.
- Gong, B. (2004). Incorporating Student Growth (or Value-Added) Measurements Into School Accountability—What Are the Models? Center for Assessment. Available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Gong.pdf>.
- Hanushek, E.,A., Steven Rivkin, and Lori Taylor (1996).Aggregation and the estimated Effects of School, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, v. 78(4), pp 611-27.
- Hanushek, E. A. (1979). Conceptual and empirical issues in the estimation of education production functions. *Journal of Human Resources*, 14 (3): 351-388.
- Hara, M & P. Goldschmidt (2005). Are there really good schools: the role of changing demographics within schools. Paper presentation, annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal

- Linn, R. L., and Haug, C. (2002). Stability of school-building accountability scores and gains. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(1), 29-36.
- Meyer, R. H. (1996). Value-added indicators of school performance. In E. A. Hanushek & D.W. Jorgenson (Eds.), Improving America's schools: The role of incentives (pp. 197-223). Washington, DC: National Academic Press.
- Novak, J., & Fuller, B. (2003). Penalizing diverse schools? Similar test scores, but different students, bring federal sanction. PACE Policy brief. Berkeley, CA: Policy Analysis for California Education.
- Other Examples of Use of Growth Models. Panel presentation, November 2004. Robert Bernstein, Rachel Perry, California Department of Education, available [http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Bernstein\\_Perry.ppt](http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Bernstein_Perry.ppt). Mitchell Chester, Ohio Department of Education, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Chester.ppt>. Steven Ponisciak, University of Chicago, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Ponisciak.ppt>.
- Pedhazur, E. (1982). Multiple regression in behavioral research. Fort Worth: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich
- Rumberger, R. W., & Palardy, G. J. (2004). Multilevel models for school effectiveness research. In D. Kaplan (Ed.), Handbook of quantitative methodology for the social sciences (pp.235-258). Thousand Oaks, CA Sage Publications.
- Raudenbush, S. W. (2004). What are value-added models estimating and what does this imply for statistical practice? *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 29 (1), 121-129.
- Raudenbush, S. W., & Bryk, A. S. (2002). Hierarchical linear models: Applications and data analysis methods (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Press.
- Raudenbush, S.W., Y.F. Cheong, and R.P. Fotiu (1994). Synthesizing Cross-National Classroom Effects Data: Alternative Models and Methods in Methodological Issues in Comparative Educational Studies, US Dept. Education, National Center for Education Statistics 94-469.
- Seltzer, M., Choi, K., & Thum, Y. M. (2003). Examining relationships between where students start and how rapidly they progress: Implications for conducting analyses that help illuminate the distribution of achievement within schools. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 25 (3), 263-286.
- Singer, J. and J. Willet (2003). Applied Longitudinal Data Analysis: Modeling Change and Event Occurrence. Oxford University Press.
- State Lessons for NCLB-AYP Year One: How Can Accountability Decisions Be Improved? (CCSSO conference on large scale assessment, 2004). Abigail Potts, Council of Chief State School Officers, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/LSAC2004Potts.pdf>. Mitchell Chester, Ohio Department of Education, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/LSAC2004Chester.pdf>. Juliane Dow, Massachusetts Department of Education, available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/LSAC2004Dow.pdf>.
- Thum, Y.M. (2003a). Measuring progress toward a goal: Estimating teacher productivity using a multivariate multilevel model for value-added analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 32 (2), 153-207.
- Thum, Y.M. (2003b). No Child Left Behind: Methodological Challenges & Recommendations for Measuring Adequate Yearly Progress. CSE Technical Report. Los Angeles, CA: Center for the Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Testing, University of California Los Angeles.
- U.S. Public Law 107-110. 107<sup>th</sup> Congress. 2<sup>nd</sup> Session. 8<sup>th</sup> October, 2002. The No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.
- Use of Growth Models in School Accountability, Memo to Chief State School Officers, March 2005. Available <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/Growthmemo.pdf>
- Willms, D. & Raudenbush, S. (1989). A longitudinal hierarchical linear model for estimating school effects and their stability, *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 26(3), 209-232.